# Hvordan referanser kan etablere grunnlaget for en artikkel (og en masteroppgave)

How references may establish a sound foundation of an article (and maybe a thesis)

© The Author 2005. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of The British Computer Society. All rights reserved.

For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oupjournals.org

doi:10.1093/comjnl/bxh068

# On the Minimality of Finite Automata and Stream X-machines for Finite

# FLORENTIN IPATE

Department of Computer Science and Mathematics, University of Pitesti, Str Targu din Vale 1, 0300 Pitesti, Romania
Email: fipate@ifsoft.ro

A cover automaton of a finite language L is a finite automaton that accepts all words in L and possibly other words that are longer than any word in L. An algorithm for constructing a minimal cover automaton of a finite language L is given in a recent paper. This paper goes a step further by proposing a procedure for constructing all minimal cover automata of a given finite language L. The concept of cover automaton is then generalized to a form of extended finite automaton, the stream X-machine, and the procedure is extended to this more general model.

Received 8 January 2004; revised 30 September 2004

(The Computer Journal, 48(2), 157-167)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Finite automata [1, 2, 3] are widely used if of computing, ranging from lexical analysis protocol testing. Finite automata are know regular languages [4, 5]. However, in many a finite automata only finite languages are used. states of a finite automaton (FA) that accept is at least one more than the length of the language and may be exponentially large On the other hand, if we do not restrict accept only the given finite language but extra words that are longer than the lo language, then the number of its states m reduced. In most applications the maxin words in the language is known and the sys of the length of the words processed, so will usually be adequate. This is the automata for finite languages.

Informally, a cover automaton of a finite language L is an FA that accepts all words in L and possibly other words that are longer than any word in L. A minimal cover automaton of L is a cover automaton of L having the least number of states. In many cases, a minimal cover automaton of L has a much smaller size than the minimal automaton that accepts L.

The concept of minimal cover automaton of a finite language is introduced in [6] and it is shown that there may be several minimal cover automata of the same language that are not isomorphic. Furthermore, [6] provides an algorithm that, for a finite language L (given as an FA that accepts L or as a cover automaton of L), constructs a minimal cover automaton of the language. An improved algorithm (in terms of complexity) is also presented in [7].

This paper goes a step further by giving a procedure for constructing all minimal cover automata of a given finite

of

s).

# Finite automata [1, 2, 3]

store called memory, and a number of transitions between

# REFERENCES

- Hopcroft, J. E. and Ullman, J. D. (1979) Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages and Computation. Addison Wesley, Reading, MA.
- [2] Salomaa, A. (1969) Theory of Automata. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- [3] Cohen, D. I. A. (1996) Introduction to Computer Theory (2nd edn). John Wiley & Sons, New York.

into more complex, more detailed implementation-oriented versions have been developed [14, 15]. Furthermore, several models of communicating SXMs have been devised and used in real applications [16, 17, 18].

mrestigated and teeminques for remaining given specimentons

One of the strengths of using SXMs to specify a system is that it is possible to derive test sets from an SXM specification which, if satisfied, guarantee, under certain constraints, the correctness of the implementation with respect to the specification [10, 19, 20, 21]. Among these constraints are the so-called 'design for test conditions' that the SXM specification has to meet: input-completeness and output-distinguishability [10, 19]. The class of SXMs that meet these conditions is therefore of particular interest and has

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Finite automata [1, 2, 3] are widely us of computing, ranging from lexical anal protocol testing. Finite automata are k regular languages [4, 5]. However, in many applications of

finite automata only finite languages are used. The number of states of a finite automaton (FA) that accepts a finite language is at least one more than the length of the longest word in the language and may be exponentially large in this length [6]. On the other hand, if we do not restrict accept only the given finite language but extra words that are longer than the lo language, then the number of its states meduced. In most applications the maximum [5] Yu, S.

words in the language is known and the sys

of the length of the words processed, so such an automaton will usually be adequate. This is the idea behind cover automata for finite languages.

Informally, a cover automaton of a finite language L is an FA that accepts all words in L and possibly other words that are longer than any word in L. A minimal cover automaton of L is a cover automaton of L having the least number of states. In many cases, a minimal cover automaton of L has a much smaller size than the minimal automaton that accepts L.

The concept of minimal cover automaton of a finite language is introduced in [6] and it is shown that there may be several minimal cover automata of the same language that are not isomorphic. Furthermore, [6] provides an algorithm that, for a finite language L (given as an FA that accepts L or as a cover automaton of L), constructs a minimal cover automaton of the language. An improved algorithm (in terms of complexity) is also presented in [7].

# regular languages [4, 5].

finite finite frm of XMs).

a system as a finite set of states, each with an internal store called memory, and a number of transitions between the states. A transition is triggered by an input value, produces an output value and may alter the memory. An

[4] Salomaa, K., Yu, S. and Zhuang, Q. (1994) The state complexity of some basic operations on regular languages. Theoretical Computer Science, 125, 315–328.

[5] Yu, S. (1995) Regular Languages, Handbook of Formal Languages. Springer Verlag.

A tool to support the creation of SXM specifications has been constructed [13]. The refinement of SXMs has been investigated and techniques for refining given specifications into more complex, more detailed implementation-oriented versions have been developed [14, 15]. Furthermore, several models of communicating SXMs have been devised and used in real applications [16, 17, 18].

One of the strengths of using SXMs to specify a system is that it is possible to derive test sets from an SXM specification which, if satisfied, guarantee, under certain constraints, the correctness of the implementation with respect to the specification [10, 19, 20, 21]. Among these constraints are the so-called 'design for test conditions' that the SXM specification has to meet: input-completeness and output-distinguishability [10, 19]. The class of SXMs that meet these conditions is therefore of particular interest and has

The concept of minimal cover automaton of a finite language is introduced in [6] and it is shown that there may be several minimal cover automata of the same language that are not isomorphic. Furthermore, [6] provides an algorithm that, for a finite language L (given as an FA that accepts L or as a cover automaton of L), constructs a minimal cover automaton of the language. An improved algorithm (in terms of complexity) is also presented in [7].

by giving a procedure for utomata of a given finite n generalized to a form of eam X-machines (SXMs). e [8, 9, 10] that describes es, each with an internal per of transitions between gered by an input value, ay alter the memory. An A (the associated FA) in tion names (the processing bine the dynamic features a structures, thus sharing Various case studies

reduced. In most applications the maximum

words in the language of the length of the w will usually be adeq automata for finite lar Informally, a cover

FA that accepts all wo are longer than any wo L is a cover automator In many cases, a mini smaller size than the r

- Campeanu, C., Santean, N. and Yu, S. (1999) Minimal cover automata for finite languages. Theoretical Computer Science, 267, 3-16.
- [7] Paun, A., Santean, N. and Yu, S. (2001) An  $O(n^2)$  algorithm for constructing minimal cover automata for finite languages. LNCS, 2088, 243-251.

The concept of minimal cover automaton of a finite language is introduced in [6] and it is shown that there may be several minimal cover automata of the same language that are not isomorphic. Furthermore, [6] provides an algorithm that, for a finite language L (given as an FA that accepts L or as a cover automaton of L), constructs a minimal cover automaton of the language. An improved algorithm (in terms of complexity) is also presented in [7].

that it is possible to derive test sets from an SXM specification which, if satisfied, guarantee, under certain constraints, the correctness of the implementation with respect to the specification [10, 19, 20, 21]. Among these constraints are the so-called 'design for test conditions' that the SXM specification has to meet: input-completeness and outputdistinguishability [10, 19]. The class of SXMs that meet these conditions is therefore of particular interest and has

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Finite automata [1, 2, 3] are widely used in many areas of computing, ranging from lexical analysis to circuit and protocol testing. Finite automata are known to compute regular languages [4, 5]. However, in many applications of finite automata only finite languages are used. The number of states of a finite automaton (FA) that accepts a finite language

This paper goes a step further by giving a procedure for constructing all minimal cover automata of a given finite language L. The procedure is then generalized to a form of extended finite automata, called stream X-machines (SXMs).

An SXM is a type of X-machine [8, 9, 10] that describes a system as a finite set of states, each with an internal store called memory, and a number of transitions between

This paper goes a step further by giving a procedure for constructing all minimal cover automata of a given finite language L. The procedure is then generalized to a form of extended finite automata, called stream X-machines (SXMs).

he associated FA) in names (the processing the dynamic features actures, thus sharing Various case studies alue of the SXM as interactive systems. M specifications has at of SXMs has been a given specifications dementation-oriented

Furthermore, several

o specify a system is

n SXM specification

certain constraints.

with respect to the

ng these constraints

tions' that the SXM

eteness and output-

of SXMs that meet

by an input value,

ter the memory. An

An SXM is a type of X-machine [8, 9, 10] that describes a system as a finite set of states, each with an internal store called memory, and a number of transitions between the states. A transition is triggered by an input value,

L is a cover automaton of L having the least number of states

models of communicating SXMs have been devised and used

In many smaller The

is at lef

langua

On the

accept

extra

langua

reduce

words

of the

will us

automa

FA tha

are lon

Info

[8] Eilenberg, S. (1994) Automata, Languages and Machines, Vol. A. Academic Press, New York.

languag be sever are not that, for

[9] Holcombe, M. (1988) X-machines as a basis for dynamic system specification. Software Engineering Journal, 3, 69–76.

L or as

[10] Holcombe, M. and Ipate, F. (1998) Correct Systems: Building a Business Process Solution. Springer Verlag, Berlin.

of complexity) is also presented in [7].

these conditions is therefore of particular interest and has